

From: [Deibert, Pat](#)
To: [Berglund, Jeff](#)
Cc: [Brent Esmoil](#); [Jodi Bush](#)
Subject: Re: GRSG: 2 questions re: ADPP data
Date: Monday, February 23, 2015 1:14:55 PM

its BLM's acronym for the strongholds. They decided to re-name them sagebrush focal areas.

p

On Mon, Feb 23, 2015 at 1:12 PM, Berglund, Jeff <jeff_berglund@fws.gov> wrote:
Thanks - I don't think I've seen "SFA" - can you enlighten? Thanks!

On Mon, Feb 23, 2015 at 1:06 PM, Deibert, Pat <pat_deibert@fws.gov> wrote:
here is the extent of my knowledge. If I ever get off these eternal phone calls I'll try to figure this out and be in touch.

p

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Matt Kales** <matt_kales@fws.gov>
Date: Mon, Feb 23, 2015 at 12:34 PM
Subject: GRSG: 2 questions re: ADPP data
To: Stephanie Carman <scarman@blm.gov>
Cc: Pat Deibert <pat_deibert@fws.gov>

Stephanie,

Thanks again for your time last Friday and the update on current planning issues. In advance of tomorrow's NPT call, and following our conversation and the federal coordination call earlier today, Pat and I would be grateful for some additional details on two issues you flagged:

- Lend tenure: we understand because BLM completed NEPA on this issue before the federal family completed the NPT guidance (which indicates all priority habitat will be retained), some states in the range (WY & CO) carried forward earlier direction and identified some priority habitat as available for disposal. Rather than supplement, BLM has developed the below language to condition any such disposal:

"Lands classified as priority habitat and general habitat (or habitat classification appropriate for the sub-region) for Greater Sage-Grouse will be retained in federal management unless: (1) the agency can demonstrate that disposal of the lands will provide a net conservation gain to the Greater Sage-Grouse or (2) the agency can

demonstrate that the disposal of the lands will have no direct or indirect adverse impact on conservation of the Greater Sage-Grouse."

We assume we'll be able to determine from the GIS data you delivered to us Friday which lands in CO and WY are affected. In the meantime, can you please (a) confirm our understanding of the issue is accurate and (b) the above language is final? That will help us provide feedback to the NPT.

- Fluid mineral development in MT: similarly, we understand because BLM completed NEPA for some planning units in MT before the federal family completed the NPT guidance (which indicated fluid minerals in general habitat are subject to standard or moderate stipulations), some general habitat (~500,000 acres) in MT available for fluid mineral development will be managed with standard stipulations. Again, we assume we'll be able to see where those lands lie from the GIS data, but it would be helpful if you could recapitulate what we discussed on Friday re: lek buffers and how/where/when the standard stipulations would apply. Lastly, we understand this issue is essentially moot if an area is included in an SFA.

Lastly, on another note, it sounds like BLM is planning on delivering a webinar to partners before the North American/WAFWA EOC, as opposed to scheduling a SGTF meeting in concert with the North American. It would be helpful for us to know your plans so we can schedule our folks accordingly.

Thanks again, and we look forward to speaking with you/all tomorrow.

Matt

Matt Kales, Senior Advisor for Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation

Office of the Regional Director

US Fish and Wildlife Service, Mountain-Prairie Region

Office: (303) 236-4576

Mobile: (720) 234-0257

--

Pat Deibert, PhD
Certified Wildlife Biologist®
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
5353 Yellowstone Road, Suite 308A
Cheyenne, WY 82009
307-772-2374, ext. 226

got leks?

--

Jeff Berglund
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Montana Field Office
585 Shepard Way, Suite 1
Helena, MT 59601
(406) 449-5225, ext. 206

--

Pat Deibert, PhD
Certified Wildlife Biologist®
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
5353 Yellowstone Road, Suite 308A
Cheyenne, WY 82009
307-772-2374, ext. 226

got leks?